## UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 99-1662

LAMARR BROWN,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

INTERNATIONAL LONGSHOREMAN ASSOCIATION, Local 1248, AFL-CIO,

Defendant - Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Norfolk. Raymond A. Jackson, District Judge. (CA-98-1389)

Submitted: August 24, 1999 Decided: October 15, 1999

Before  ${\tt ERVIN}^*$  and  ${\tt MICHAEL}$ , Circuit Judges, and  ${\tt BUTZNER}$ , Senior Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Lamarr Brown, Appellant Pro Se. Charles S. Montagna, MONTAGNA, KLEIN & CAMDEN, L.L.P., Norfolk, Virginia, for Appellee.

 $<sup>^{*}</sup>$  Judge Ervin was assigned to the panel in this case but died prior to the time the decision was filed. The decision is filed by a quorum of the panel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 46(d).

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

## PER CURIAM:

Lamarr Brown appeals the district court judgment granting summary judgment to the Appellee and dismissing his complaint alleging that his union local engaged in unfair labor practices under 29 U.S.C. § 158 (1994). We have reviewed the record and the district court dismissal order and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court. See Brown v. International Longshoreman Ass'n Local 1248, No. CA-98-1389 (E.D. Va. Apr. 16, 1999).\* We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

<u>AFFIRMED</u>

<sup>\*</sup> Although the district court's judgment is marked as "filed" on April 15, 1999, the district court's records show that it was entered on the docket sheet on April 16, 1999. Pursuant to Rules 58 and 79(a) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, it is the date that the judgment was entered on the docket sheet that we take as the effective date of the district court's decision. See Wilson v. Murray, 806 F.2d 1232, 1234-35 (4th Cir. 1986).